arXiv:1401.5885v2 [math.AG] 14 Mar 2014

MICROLOCAL APPROACH TO LUSZTIG'S SYMMETRIES

MICHAEL FINKELBERG AND VADIM SCHECHTMAN

Abstract. We reformulate the De Concini – Toledano Laredo conjecture about the monodromy of the Casimir connection in terms of a relation between the Lusztig's symmetries of quantum group modules and the monodromy in the vanishing cycles of factorizable sheaves.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the complement to the root hyperplanes arrangement. For an integrable $\mathfrak{g}$-module V C.De Concini and C.Procesi have introduced an integrable *Casimir* connection with coefficients in the trivial vector bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ (it was later rediscovered by V. Toledano Laredo and Felder-Markov-Tarasov-Varchenko) and conjectured that its monodromy can be expressed in terms of the action of Lusztig's symmetries $T'_{i,1}$ of the corresponding Weyl module W_V over the corresponding quantum group $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$. This conjecture was later independently formulated and proved by V. Toledano Laredo for v in the formal neighbourhood of 1. The key notion introduced in his proof was the notion of a (quasi-)*Coxeter category*. The original definition of this notion is of combinatorial nature. We suggest a more topological version of this definition in Section 3.

In Section 4 we recall the Coxeter structure on the category $\mathcal{C}$ of quantum group representations. According to [3], this category has a geometric incarnation as the category $\mathcal{FS}$ of factorizable sheaves. In Section 5 we describe a natural Coxeter structure on the category of factorizable sheaves, for v in a formal neighbourhood of 1. We expect that this construction works for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

We conjecture finally that the equivalence Φ of [3] takes the Coxeter structure on $\mathcal{FS}$ to the Coxeter structure on $\mathcal{C}$. This is essentially a reformulation of De Concini – Toledano Laredo conjecture. An elementary (and oversimplified) example is considered in Section 2. In Section 6 we present some results on iterated specialization and microlocalization over hyperplane arrangements which might be of independent interest.

1.2. **Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to R. Fedorov, S. Khoroshkin, B. Feigin, A. Postnikov, G. Rybnikov, L. Rybnikov, V. Toledano Laredo, D. Gaitsgory, D. Kazhdan, M. Kapranov, A. Braverman, R. Bezrukavnikov, A.Varchenko for the inspiring discussions, and M. Kashiwara for an important reference.

In fact, this note arose from a question asked by R. Fedorov in the summer 2012.

M.F. was partially supported by the RFBR grants 12-01-00944, 12-01-33101, 13-01-12401/13, and the AG Laboratory HSE, RF government grant, ag. 11.G34.31.0023.

2. An example

2.1. **Algebra.** We follow the notations of [11]. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the quantum universal enveloping algebra of type A_2, over the ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$. The positive (resp. negative) subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$) is generated by the divided powers $E_i^{(r)}$ (resp $F_i^{(r)}$), $i = 1, 2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Lambda = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be a dominant highest weight such that $\mu_1 \geq 1 \leq \mu_2$, and $L(\Lambda)$ the corresponding integrable $\mathbf{U}$-module with the highest vector v. We will be interested in the weight spaces $L(\Lambda)_{(\mu_1-1,\mu_2-1)}$, $L(\Lambda)_{(-\mu_1+1,\mu_1+\mu_2-2)}$, $L(\Lambda)_{(\mu_1+\mu_2-2,-\mu_2+1)}$, $L(\Lambda)_{(\mu_2-1,-\mu_1-\mu_2+2)}$, $L(\Lambda)_{(-\mu_1-\mu_2+2,\mu_1-1)}$, $L(\Lambda)_{(-\mu_2+1,-\mu_1+1)}$ (these weights form a single Weyl group orbit). They have canonical bases $(F_1F_2\mathsf{v}, F_2F_1\mathsf{v})$, $(F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}, F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v})$, $(F_2^{(\mu_2)}F_1\mathsf{v}, F_1F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v})$, $(F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}, F_1F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v})$, $(F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}, F_2F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v})$, $(F_1^{(\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v} = F_2^{(\mu_1)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v}$, $F_1^{(\mu_2)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v} = F_2^{(\mu_1-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v})$, respectively.

We are interested in the action of Lusztig's symmetries $T'_{1,2,\pm}$ on the above weight spaces.

Lemma 2.2. $T'_{1\pm}(F_1F_2\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}$, $T'_{1\pm}(F_2F_1\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm\mu_1}F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v} + F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$;
$T'_{2\pm}(F_2F_1\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_2+1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}F_1\mathsf{v}$, $T'_{2\pm}(F_1F_2\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm\mu_2}F_2^{(\mu_2)}F_1\mathsf{v} + F_1F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}$;
$T'_{2\pm}(F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$,
$T'_{2\pm}(F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm\mu_2}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v} + F_1F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v}$;
$T'_{1\pm}(F_1F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}$,
$T'_{1\pm}(F_2^{(\mu_2)}F_1\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm\mu_1}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v} + F_2F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v}$;
$T'_{1\pm}(F_1F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_2+1)}F_1^{(\mu_2)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v}$,
$T'_{1\pm}(F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm 1}F_1^{(\mu_2)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v} + F_1^{(\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$;
$T'_{2\pm}(F_2F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+1)}F_2^{(\mu_1)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v}$,
$T'_{2\pm}(F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}) = -v^{\pm 1}F_2^{(\mu_1)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2-1)}\mathsf{v} + F_2^{(\mu_1-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_2^{(\mu_2)}\mathsf{v}$.

Proof. We consider two subalgebras $\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2 \subset \mathbf{U}$ of type A_1: the first one is generated by $E_1^{(r)}, F_1^{(r)}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the second one is generated by $E_2^{(r)}, F_2^{(r)}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove the first formula, we consider the $\mathbf{U}_1$-submodule M_1 of $L(\Lambda)$ with the highest vector $F_2\mathsf{v}$ and canonical base $F_2\mathsf{v}, F_1F_2\mathsf{v}, \dots, F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}, F_1^{(\mu_1+1)}F_2\mathsf{v}$. We also consider another $\mathbf{U}_1$-submodule M'_1 of $L(\Lambda)$ with the highest vector $\mathsf{w}^+ := (v^{\mu_1} - v^{-\mu_1})F_1F_2\mathsf{v} - (v^{\mu_1+1} - v^{-\mu_1-1})F_2F_1\mathsf{v}$ and the lowest vector (in the same canonical base) $\mathsf{w}^- = (v - v^{-1})F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v} - (v^{\mu_1+1} - v^{-\mu_1-1})F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$. In effect, it is straightforward that $E_1\mathsf{w}^+ = 0$, and it follows from [11, Lemma 42.1.2.(d)] that $F_1\mathsf{w}^- = 0$; hence $F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{w}^+ = a\mathsf{w}^-$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The fact that $a = 1$ follows by comparing the coefficients of $F_1F_2\mathsf{v}$ in w^+ and of $F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}$ in w^-. Now according to [11, Proposition 5.2.2.(a)], $T'_{1\pm}\mathsf{w}^+ = \mathsf{w}^-$, $T'_{1\pm}F_1F_2\mathsf{v} = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v}$. From this we deduce the first two formulas. The other formulas are proved similarly. Say, to prove the 5th and 6th formulas we consider the $\mathbf{U}_2$-submodule M_2 of $L(\Lambda)$ with the highest vector $F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$ and canonical base $F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}, F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}, \dots, F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}, F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$. We also consider another $\mathbf{U}_2$-submodule M'_2 of $L(\Lambda)$ with the highest vector $\mathsf{x}^+ := (v^{\mu_1+\mu_2} - v^{-\mu_1-\mu_2})F_1^{(\mu_1)}F_2\mathsf{v} - (v^{\mu_2} - v^{-\mu_2})F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$ and the lowest vector (in the same canonical

base) $\mathsf{x}^- = (v^{\mu_1+\mu_2} - v^{-\mu_1-\mu_2})F_1F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1-1)}\mathsf{v} - (v^{\mu_1} - v^{-\mu_1})F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$. Then $T'_{2\pm}\mathsf{x}^+ = \mathsf{x}^-$, $T'_{2\pm}F_2F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v} = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2)}F_2^{(\mu_1+\mu_2-1)}F_1^{(\mu_1)}\mathsf{v}$. From this we deduce the 5th and 6th formulas. And so on. □

2.3. **Topology.** Let $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{R}$ be a 2-dimensional real vector space and let $\mathbb{A}$ be its complexification with coordinates (t_1, t_2) stratified by 3 lines: $t_1 = 0$, $t_2 = 0$, $t_1 - t_2 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the shriek extension of the one-dimensional local system on the complement of the 3 lines with monodromies $v^{2\mu_1}, v^{2\mu_2}, v^{2\mu_3}$. In applications to algebra, $2\mu_3 = 2$. The dual vector space $\mathbb{A}^*$ has coordinates (z_1, z_2), and the dual stratification consists of the lines $z_1 = 0$, $z_2 = 0$, $z_1 + z_2 = 0$. This is the root hyperplane arrangement of type A_2. There are 6 real chambers of this arrangement: C_0 is the dominant chamber containing an interior point $z^{(e)} = (1, 1)$; the other chambers with interior points $z^{(1)} = (-1, 2)$, $z^{(21)} = (-2, 1)$, $z^{(121)} = z^{(212)} = (-1, -1)$, $z^{(12)} = (1, -2)$, $z^{(2)} = (2, -1)$. The chambers are naturally numbered by the Weyl group W of type A_2 generated by simple reflections s_1, s_2. For $w \in W$ we have $C_w \ni z^{(w)}$, say $C_{s_1s_2s_1}$ (C_{121} for short) contains $z^{(121)}$. We have 6 *real* affine lines ℓ_w, $w \in W$, in $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{R}$ given by equations $z^{(w)} = 1$. For example ℓ_e, ℓ_1 are given by the equations $t_1 + t_2 = 1$, $-t_1 + 2t_2 = 1$ respectively. More generally, for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, let us denote by $\ell_{w,\varepsilon}$ the real straight line given by the equation $z^{(w)} = \varepsilon$.

The microlocalization (Fourier transform) $\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathcal{L}$ is certain constructible complex on $\mathbb{A}^*$. We will be interested only in its restriction to the complement of the 3 lines in $\mathbb{A}^*$, which is a 2-dimensional local system. Let us describe this local system explicitely.

The stalk $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(w)}\mathcal{L}$ at $z^{(w)}$ equals the vanishing cycles $\Phi_{z^{(w)}}\mathcal{L}$. Let i_w denote the inclusion $\ell_w \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}$. Then $\Phi_{z^{(w)}}\mathcal{L}$ may be identified with $H^1(\ell_w, i_w^*\mathcal{L})$. It is a 2-dimensional vector space with the base *dual* to the basis ℓ'_w, ℓ''_w of 1-cycles with coefficiens in $i_w^*\mathcal{L}^*$.

The 1-cycles are defined as follows: ℓ'_e is the interval between the points $(1, 0)$ and $(1/2, 1/2)$; ℓ''_e is the interval between the points $(1/2, 1/2)$ and $(0, 1)$; ℓ'_1 is the interval between the points $(1, 1)$ and $(0, 1/2)$; ℓ''_1 is the interval between the points $(0, 1/2)$ and $(-1, 0)$; ℓ'_{21} is the interval between the points $(0, 1)$ and $(-1/2, 0)$; ℓ''_{21} is the interval between the points $(-1/2, 0)$ and $(-1, -1)$; ℓ'_{121} is the interval between the points $(-1, 0)$ and $(-1/2, -1/2)$; ℓ''_{121} is the interval between the points $(-1/2, -1/2)$ and $(0, -1)$; ℓ'_2 is the interval between the points $(0, -1)$ and $(1/2, 0)$; ℓ''_2 is the interval between the points $(1/2, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$; ℓ'_{12} is the interval between the points $(-1, -1)$ and $(0, -1/2)$; ℓ''_{12} is the interval between the points $(0, -1/2)$ and $(1, 0)$; ℓ'_{212} is the interval between the points $(-1, 0)$ and $(-1/2, -1/2)$; ℓ''_{212} is the interval between the points $(-1/2, -1/2)$ and $(0, -1)$. Note that $\ell''_{212} = \ell''_{121}$, $\ell'_{212} = \ell'_{121}$. The dual basis to ℓ'_w, ℓ''_w will be denoted by ϕ'_w, ϕ''_w (in particular, $\phi''_{212} = \phi''_{121}$, $\phi'_{212} = \phi'_{121}$).

More generally, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{z^{(w)}}\mathcal{L} = H^1(\ell_w, i_w^*\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\ell_{w,\varepsilon}, i_{w,\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{L})$$

where $i_{w,\varepsilon} : \ell_{w,\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}$, and we can define similar parallelly transported bases in $H_1(\ell_{w,\varepsilon}, i_{w,\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{L}^*)$.

For two neighbouring chambers C_y, C_w, $y, w \in W$, let $\gamma^\pm_{y,w}$ be a straight line interval going from C_y to C_w but avoiding intersection with the wall between these two chambers by going around it in the positive (resp. negative) imaginary halfspace. We will keep the same notation for the induced operator (half monodromy along $\gamma^\pm_{y,w}$) from $\Phi_{z^{(y)}}\mathcal{L}$ to $\Phi_{z^{(w)}}\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 2.4. $\gamma^{\pm}_{e,1}\phi'_e = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_3)}\phi''_1,\ \gamma^{\pm}_{e,1}\phi''_e = -v^{\pm\mu_1}\phi''_1 + \phi'_1;$
$\gamma^{\pm}_{e,2}\phi''_e = -v^{\pm(\mu_2+\mu_3)}\phi'_2,\ \gamma^{\pm}_{e,2}\phi'_e = -v^{\pm\mu_2}\phi'_2 + \phi''_2;$
$\gamma^{\pm}_{1,21}\phi'_1 = -v^{\pm(\mu_2+\mu_1)}\phi''_{21},\ \gamma^{\pm}_{1,21}\phi''_1 = -v^{\pm\mu_2}\phi''_{21} + \phi'_{21};$
$\gamma^{\pm}_{2,12}\phi''_2 = -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2)}\phi'_{12},\ \gamma^{\pm}_{2,12}\phi'_2 = -v^{\pm\mu_1}\phi'_{12} + \phi''_{12};$
$\gamma^{\pm}_{21,121}\phi'_{21} = -v^{\pm(\mu_3+\mu_2)}\phi''_{121},\ \gamma^{\pm}_{21,121}\phi''_{21} = -v^{\pm\mu_3}\phi''_{121} + \phi'_{121};$
$\gamma^{\pm}_{12,212}\phi''_{12} = -v^{\pm(\mu_3+\mu_1)}\phi'_{212},\ \gamma^{\pm}_{12,212}\phi'_{12} = -v^{\pm\mu_3}\phi'_{212} + \phi''_{212}.$

Proof. All the formulas being similar, we prove the first two. For the transposed map between dual spaces we must check that

$$\gamma^{\pm}_{1,e}\ell'_1 = \ell''_e,\ \gamma^{\pm}_{1,e}\ell''_1 = -v^{\pm\mu_1}\ell''_e - v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_3)}\ell'_e.$$

(Note that the second equality is equivalent to $\gamma^{\pm}_{1,e}(\ell'_1 + v^{\mp\mu_1}\ell''_1) = -v^{\pm\mu_3}\ell'_e$.) To prove it, we rotate the line ℓ_1 clockwise in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the point $(0, 1/2)$ fixed and observe what happens with the real cycles ℓ'_1 and ℓ''_1. At some critical moment the rotated line becomes parallel to the t_1-axis, at this moment we must pass for a short time into the complex upper (or lower) halfspace, and at the end we get the line parallel to ℓ_e. We see that at the end of this rotation ℓ'_1 turns into ℓ''_e, whereas ℓ''_1 stretches and after the critical moment turns into the necessary linear combination of ℓ'_e and ℓ''_e. □

Remark 2.5. Writing down the composition $\gamma^{\pm}_{21,121} \circ \gamma^{\pm}_{1,21} \circ \gamma^{\pm}_{e,1}$ in our bases as the product of matrices we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -v^{\pm(\mu_3+\mu_2)} & -v^{\pm\mu_3} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -v^{\pm(\mu_2+\mu_1)} & -v^{\pm\mu_2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_3)} & -v^{\pm\mu_1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3)} & 0 \\ 0 & v^{\pm(\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

cf. [10, Corollary 5.9].

Remark 2.6. In case $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$ all the six weight spaces considered in Section 2.1 coincide with $L(1,1)_{(0,0)}$ with the base $F_1F_2\mathsf{v},\ F_2F_1\mathsf{v}$. In this base the operator $T'_{1\pm}$ of the first line of Lemma 2.2 corresponding to the operator $\gamma^{\pm}_{e,1}$ of Lemma 2.4 has the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -v^{\pm 2} & -v^{\pm 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, while the operator $T'_{2\pm}$ of the second line of Lemma 2.2 corresponding to the operator $\gamma^{\pm}_{e,2}$ of Lemma 2.4 has the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -v^{\pm 1} & -v^{\pm 2} \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $(\gamma^{-}_{e,1})^{-1} = \gamma^{+}_{e,1}$, and $(\gamma^{-}_{e,2})^{-1} = \gamma^{+}_{e,2}$.

2.7. **Discussion.** We set $\mu_3 = 1$. The theory of factorizable sheaves [3] provides a canonical isomorphism $\Phi_{z^{(e)}}\mathcal{L} \simeq L(\Lambda)_{(\mu_1-1,\mu_2-1)}$. The stalks of microlocalization at the other chambers $\Phi_{z^{(w)}}\mathcal{L}$ *do not* have an algebraic interpretation in the framework of this theory[1]. However, the comparison of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 shows that the monodromy of the local system $\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathcal{L}$ (as the automorphism group of $\Phi_{z^{(e)}}\mathcal{L} \simeq L(\Lambda)_{(\mu_1-1,\mu_2-1)}$) can be expressed in terms of Lusztig's symmetries $T'_{1,2\pm}, T''_{1,2\pm}$. In fact, the comparison of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 suggests a much more precise relation, in particular, between a natural

[1] see Conjecture 7.3 however.

topological basis in $\Phi_{z^{(e)}}\mathcal{L}$ and the canonical basis on the algebraic side. Unfortunately, we have no clue how to define such a topological basis in general. However, the relation between the monodromy and Lusztig's symmetries seems to generalize. This is the subject of the main body of the note.

3. Coxeter categories

3.1. **Notations.** Let us set up a few notations related to a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The set of simple coroots is denoted by I; for $i \in I$ the corresponding simple coroot is denoted $\check{\alpha}_i$ or sometimes simply i. The corresponding simple root is denoted α_i or sometimes i'. We fix a Weyl group invariant symmetric bilinear form $?\cdot?$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that the square length of a *short* root is $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i = 2$. This bilinear form gives rise to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}^*$ so that the coroot lattice Y generated by $\{\check{\alpha}_i\}_{i\in I}$ embeds into $\mathfrak{h}^*$. We then have $\check{\alpha}_i \cdot \check{\alpha}_i \in \{2, 1, \frac{2}{3}\}$, and $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. We set $d_i = \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i/2$. Let d be the ratio of the square lengths of the long and short roots, so that $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We set $\check{d}_i = d/d_i$. Then

$$\langle \alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle = \frac{\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j}{d_j} = d_i \check{\alpha}_i \cdot \check{\alpha}_j = d\frac{\check{\alpha}_i \cdot \check{\alpha}_j}{\check{d}_i}.$$

3.2. **Erratum to** [3]**.** We take this opportunity to correct a blunder pertaining to the non-simply laced case of [3]. Let us define the quantities $i' \cdot j'$ as $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j$ in the sense of the previous Section 3.1. Then throughout [3] in all formulas the occurences of $i \cdot j$ should be replaced by $i' \cdot j'$.

For example:

— in [3, Part 0, 2.1]: $\langle i, j' \rangle = 2i' \cdot j'/i' \cdot i'$, and $d_i = i' \cdot i'/2$;

— in the relation [3, Part 0, 2.7(d)] one should replace $\zeta^{i\cdot j}$ by $\zeta^{i'\cdot j'}$,

Thus, in [3, Part 0, 2.7] $\tilde{K}_i = K_i^{d_i}$ as before, and $\zeta_i = \zeta^{d_i}$, but the meaning of d_i should be changed: d_i is defined *not* as half the square length of the coroot $\check{\alpha}_i$ but as half the square length of the root α_i.

— On the geometric side, the monodromy of the cohesive local system corresponding to a full counterclockwise turn of a point i around j should be $\zeta^{-2i'\cdot j'}$, cf. [3, Part 0, 3.10], and Section 5.1 below.

To summarize, the main assertion of [3] (reviewed below in more details) consists of two parts: first, an equivalence of the geometric category $\mathcal{FS}$ with a category of graded modules over the algebra $\mathfrak{u}$ defined in [3, Part 0, 2.7]. This assertion is true, and our correction just replaces the root system by the dual one on both sides. The second assertion is an identification of $\mathfrak{u}$ with the Lusztig's small quantum group. This identification is described in [3, Part 0, 2.12, or Part II, 12.5] and should be corrected: the "geometric" algebra $\mathfrak{u}$ is isomorphic to the "Langlands dual" Lusztig's algebra connected with the *dual* root system.

This replacement of the root system by its dual is a rather subtle point. It takes its reason in the definition of the braiding in [11], cf. the proof of [11, Lemma 32.2.3].

Also, there is a misprint in the definition of a balance in [3, IV.6.6]: $n(\lambda)$ must be replaced by $2n(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot (\lambda + 2\rho)$.

3.3. **The fundamental groupoid of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$.** We follow the notations of [1]. Let D be the Dynkin diagram of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with Cartan $\mathfrak{h}$ (so that I is the set of vertices of D). The root system of $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is $R_D \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. The complement in $\mathfrak{h}$ to the root hyperplanes is the open subset $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Given a subset D' of the set of vertices of D, we denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{D'}$ the quotient of $\mathfrak{h}$ by the center of the corresponding Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{D'} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. In other words $\mathfrak{h}^*_{D'} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*_D$ is spanned by the simple roots corresponding to the vertices from D'. We denote by $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$ the complement in $\mathfrak{h}_{D'}$ to the root hyperplanes of the root subsystem $R_{D'}$ corresponding to $D' \subset D$.

We recall the Salvetti presentation of the fundamental groupoid of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$, cf. [13]. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D',\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of real points of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$. It is a union of the connected components called *Weyl chambers*. We fix a chamber $C_0^{D'}$ formed by the points with *positive* coordinates (in the basis of fundamental coweights). The Weyl group $W_{D'}$ acts on the set $\mathbf{C}^{D'}$ of Weyl chambers simply transitively on the left. The choice of $C_0^{D'}$ identifies $\mathbf{C}^{D'}$ with $W_{D'}$, and defines the *right* action of $W_{D'}$ on $\mathbf{C}^{D'}$ (transferred from the right action of $W_{D'}$ on itself). The set of walls of $C_0^{D'}$ is canonically identified with the set of vertices of D'. The left action of $W_{D'}$ on $\mathbf{C}^{D'}$ extends this identification to any chamber. For $i \in D'$ the right action of a simple reflection works as follows: $C \cdot s_i$ is a unique neighbouring chamber C' having the s_i-wall in common with C.

The set of objects of the fundamental groupoid $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ is $\mathbf{C}^{D'}$. Given a straight line interval γ connecting the endpoints $\gamma_1 \in C_1$ and $\gamma_2 \in C_2$ and intersecting only one wall at a time, we define the morphisms $\gamma^{\pm} \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})}(C_1, C_2)$ as follows. The path γ^+ (resp. γ^-) coincides with γ away from the small neighbourhoods of its intersection with walls, where γ^+ (resp. γ^-) goes around the intersection in the positive (resp. negative) imaginary direction in $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$. According to Salvetti, $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ is generated by the set of morphisms $\gamma^{\pm}$ with relations $\beta^{\pm} = \gamma^{\pm}$ provided γ_1, β_1 lie in the same chamber C_1, and γ_2, β_2 lie in the same chamber C_2.

3.4. **The fundamental groupoid of $N^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}/\mathfrak{h}_{D'}}$.** Given a third subdiagram $D'' \subset D' \subset D$, we consider the linear subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{D'}$ spanned by the *fundamental coweights* in $D' - D'' \subset D'$. For example, $\mathfrak{h}_{D/D''} \subset \mathfrak{h}_D$ is the center of the Levi $\mathfrak{l}_{D''} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{D'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{D''} \longrightarrow 0$$

which may serve as another definition of $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$ the complement in $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ to the root hyperplanes (roots in $R_{D'}$) not containing $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$. The connected components of the real part $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D'',\mathbb{R}}$ are called *chambers*; the set of chambers is denoted $\mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$. It is naturally isomorphic to the set of parabolics in $\mathfrak{g}_{D'}$ containing the standard Levi $\mathfrak{l}_{D''}$, see e.g. [12, I.1.10]. We say that a Weyl chamber $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D'}$ is *adjacent* to $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{D'}$ if the intersection of the closure $\overline{C}$ with $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ has the maximal (real) dimension $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$; then this intersection is the closure of a chamber in $\mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$ to be denoted $\pi(C)$. The set of Weyl chambers adjacent to $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ is denoted $\mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}$. Thus we have a projection $\pi: \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''} \to \mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$.

The natural projection $\mathrm{pr}: \mathfrak{h}_{D'} \to \mathfrak{h}_{D''}$ (see Section 3.3) works in the bases of fundamental coweights as follows: $\mathrm{pr}\, \check{\omega}_i = 0$ if $i \in D' - D'' \subset D'$; and if $i \in D'' \subset D'$, then $\check{\omega}_i$ goes to

the corresponding fundamental coweight in $\mathfrak{h}_{D''}$. Given a Weyl chamber $C \in \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}$, its projection $\mathrm{pr}\, C$ is a Weyl chamber in $\mathbf{C}^{D''}$. Thus we have a projection $\mathrm{pr}: \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''} \to \mathbf{C}^{D''}$.

Lemma 3.5. *The product* $\mathrm{pr} \times \pi: \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''} \to \mathbf{C}^{D''} \times \mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$ *establishes a one-to-one correspondence.* □

Definition 3.6. *(a) Given a Weyl chamber* $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D''}$*, we define a subgroupoid* $\Pi_{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \subset \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ *as follows: the objects are* $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C) \subset \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''} \subset \mathbf{C}^{D'}$*, and the morphisms are generated by* $\gamma^{\pm}$ *where* γ *is a straight line interval* parallel *to* $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ *(i.e. such that* $\mathrm{pr}\, \gamma$ *is a point).*

(b) Given a chamber $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$*, we define a subgroupoid* $\Pi_{\pi^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \subset \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ *as follows: the objects are* $\pi^{-1}(C) \subset \mathbf{A}^{D'/D''} \subset \mathbf{C}^{D'}$*, and the morphisms are generated by* $\gamma^{\pm}$ *where* γ *is a straight line interval inside the union of closures of Weyl chambers adjacent to* C*.*

(c) A subgroupoid $\Pi_{\mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \subset \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ *is generated by all (equivalently, any two of) the groupoids in (a,b) above.*

Lemma 3.7. *(a) For any* $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D''}$*,* π *induces an equivalence* $\Pi_{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''})$*.*

(b) For any $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$*,* pr *induces an equivalence* $\Pi_{\pi^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D''})$*.*

(c) For any $C \in \mathbf{C}^{D''}$*,* $C' \in \mathbf{C}^{D'/D''}$*, the subgroupoids* $\Pi_{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ *and* $\Pi_{\pi^{-1}(C')}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ *commute, and we get an equivalence* $\Pi_{\mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}) \times \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D''})$*.*

Proof. (M. Kapranov) The relations in the Salvetti complex [13] follow from a cell decomposition of the complement which is glued out of intervals, $2n$-gons (for any codim 2 cell where n hyperplanes meet) and so on, and the relations in the fundamental groupoid are obtained from the 2-skeleton i.e., from these $2n$-gons.

So the 2-dimensional picture implies the general one. We just replace the "mixed" $2n$-gons with 4-gons, keeping "pure" $2n$-gons (contributing to $\Pi_{\pi^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ or $\Pi_{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C)}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$) intact. This gives a 2-dimensional CW-subcomplex of the complement which is (the 2-skeleton of) the product of two separate 2-dimensional subcomplexes. □

3.8. **Specialization.** To put it differently, consider the normal bundle $N_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}/\mathfrak{h}_{D'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''} \times \mathfrak{h}_{D''}$, and its open subspace $N^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}/\mathfrak{h}_{D'}} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''} \times \mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D''} \subset N_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}/\mathfrak{h}_{D'}}$ with Poincaré groupoid $\Pi_{\mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$. Then the Verdier specialization of a local system on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$ along $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ will be a well defined local system on $N^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}/\mathfrak{h}_{D'}}$. At the level of representations of Poincaré groupoids, the specialization is nothing but restriction to $\Pi_{\mathbf{A}^{D'/D''}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$.

Definition 3.9. *(A) A* Coxeter category of type D *is the collection of the following data:*

(a) A category $\mathcal{C}_{D'}$ *for any subset* $D' \subset D$*;*

(b) For $D'' \subset D'$ *a local system of restriction functors* $F_{D'D''}: \mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathcal{C}_{D''}$ *on* $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$*;*

(c) For $D''' \subset D'' \subset D'$ *an isomorphism of local systems of functors:*

$$\phi_{D'D''D'''}: \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}} F_{D'D'''} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{D''D'''} \circ F_{D'D''}$$

on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''} \times \mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D''/D'''}$ *which satisfy the natural "cocycle" or "pentagon" identity associated with* $D^{iv} \subset D''' \subset D'' \subset D'$*.*

(B) A tensor Coxeter category of type D *is the additional datum of braided balanced tensor structures on* $\mathcal{C}_{D'}$ *such that*

(i) For each $x \in \mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$, *the fibers*

$$(F_{D'D''})_x : \ \mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathcal{C}_{D''}$$

are tensor functors.

(But we do not *require them to respect the balance and braiding. Also, the monodromy isomorphisms* $\gamma_* : (F_{D'D''})_x \xrightarrow{\sim} (F_{D'D''})_y$ *where* $\gamma : x \longrightarrow y$ *is a path in* $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''})$ are not *required to be morphisms of tensor functors);*

(ii) Let $\gamma_0 \in \pi_1(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''})$ *be the generator of the centre; geometrically it is a loop passing through opposite chambers. The automorphism* $\gamma_{0*} : F_{D'D''} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{D'D''}$ *(it is the automorphism induced by the* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-monodromic structure on the sheaf* $F_{D'D''}$*) is inverse to the ratio of the balance automorphisms of the identity functors of* $\mathcal{C}_{D''}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{D'}$.

3.10. **Comparison with the Appel-Toledano-Laredo quasi-Coxeter braided tensor categories.** If we impose an additional assumption that the local systems in Definition 3.9(Ab) are lifted from $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}/W_{D'/D''}$ (quotient with respect to the free action of the finite group $W_{D'/D''} := \mathrm{Norm}_{L_{D'}}(L_{D''})/L_{D''}$ (normalizer of the Levi subgroup $L_{D''}$ in $L_{D'} \subset G$, modulo $L_{D''}$)), then we get an equivalent version of [1, Definitions 3.5, 3.11].

In the example of factorizable sheaves $\mathcal{FS}_D$ (Section 5.3 below), the balance on an irreducible sheaf $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is multiplication by $\zeta^{\lambda\cdot(\lambda+2\rho)}$. Factorizable sheaves $\mathcal{FS}_\emptyset$ for Levi=Cartan also have a nontrivial braiding and balance; namely, on an irreducible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\emptyset(\mu)$ the balance is multiplication by $\zeta^{\mu\cdot(\mu+2\rho)}$. The ratio of these two balances on a weight component $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)^\alpha$ of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is $\zeta^{\lambda\cdot(\lambda+2\rho)-(\lambda-\alpha)\cdot(\lambda-\alpha+2\rho)}$ and coincides with the monodromy automorphism of the monodromic sheaf $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)^\alpha$.

The identity $\Delta_i(T_i) = R^{21}_i \cdot (T_i \otimes T_i)$, and more generally, for any $D' \subset D$, $\Delta_{D'}(T_{w_0^{D'}}) = R^{21}_{D'} \cdot (T_{w_0^{D'}} \otimes T_{w_0^{D'}})$, implies $\Delta_{D'}(T_{w_0^{D'}})^2 (T^2_{w_0^{D'}} \otimes T^2_{w_0^{D'}})^{-1} = R^{12}_{D'} \circ R^{21}_{D'}$, which in view of Definition 3.9(Bii) is nothing but the usual relation between the braiding and the balance.

4. Algebra

4.1. **The Lusztig's symmetries.** Given $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta^6 \neq 1$, we consider the Lusztig's small quantum group $\mathbf{u}_{D'}$ (see e.g. [3, 0.2.12]). We extend it by the projectors to the weight spaces 1_λ, $\lambda \in X$, to obtain the algebra $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'}$ such that $\mathrm{Rep}\,\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'} = \mathcal{C}_{D'}$ (notations of [3, 0.2.11-0.2.13]). The algebra $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'}$ is a subalgebra of the Lusztig's big quantum group ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$ [11, Chapter 31] (where $R : \ \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}] \to \mathbb{C}$, $v \mapsto \zeta$), generated by $E_i = E_i^{(1)}$, $F_i = F_i^{(1)}$, $i \in D'$, and 1_λ, $\lambda \in X$. The Lusztig's symmetries $T'_{i,e}, T''_{i,e}$, $i \in D'$, $e = \pm 1$, of ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$ [11, 41.1.8] clearly preserve the subalgebra $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'}$ and restrict to the same named symmetries of this subalgebra.

We define a functor $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ from $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ to the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on generators as follows: $T_{\mathbf{u}}(C) = \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'}$ for any $C \in \mathbf{C}$; for γ a straight line interval connecting the endpoints in two neighbouring chambers C_1, C_2 with the common wall of type s_i, $i \in D'$, we set $T_{\mathbf{u}}(\gamma^+) = T'_{i,1}$ (resp. $T''_{i,-1}$) and $T_{\mathbf{u}}(\gamma^-) = T'_{i,-1}$ (resp. $T''_{i,1}$), if γ goes from a Bruhat smaller chamber to

the bigger one (resp. from a Bruhat bigger chamber to the smaller one). According to [11, Theorem 39.4.3], $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ is well defined.

Given an integrable ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$-module M with the Lusztig's symmetries $T'_{i,e},\ T''_{i,e}:\ M \to M$ [11, 41.2.3], we define a functor T_M from $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ to the category of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on generators as follows: $T_M(C) = M$ for any $C \in \mathbf{C}$; for γ a straight line interval connecting the endpoints in two neighbouring chambers C_1, C_2 with the common wall of type $s_i,\ i \in D'$, we set $T_M(\gamma^+) = T'_{i,1}$ (resp. $T''_{i,-1}$) and $T_M(\gamma^-) = T'_{i,-1}$ (resp. $T''_{i,1}$), if γ goes from a Bruhat smaller chamber to the bigger one (resp. from a Bruhat bigger chamber to the smaller one). According to [11, Proposition 41.2.4], T_M is well defined.

Let ${}_R\mathcal{C}_{D'}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{D'}$) denote the category of integrable ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$-modules (resp. $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{D'}$-modules), and let $\Upsilon:\ {}_R\mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathcal{C}_{D'}$ stand for the restriction functor. In the previous paragraph we have defined the local system ${}_RF_{D',\emptyset}$ on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$ of restriction functors ${}_R\mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathrm{Vect}_X = {}_R\mathcal{C}_{\emptyset}$ to the category of X-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a unique local system $F^{\mathcal{C}}_{D',\emptyset}$ on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$ of restriction functors $\mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathrm{Vect}_X = \mathcal{C}_{\emptyset}$ such that ${}_RF_{D',\emptyset} = F^{\mathcal{C}}_{D',\emptyset} \circ \Upsilon$.*

Proof. According to [2, Theorem 4.7], we view $\mathcal{C}_{D'}$ as the category of Hecke-eigen-objects in ${}_R\mathcal{C}_{D'}$. Since the fundamental group(oid) of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}$ acts trivially on the modules over the (dual) torus (notations of *loc. cit.*), the action of $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ on ${}_RF_{D',\emptyset}$ canonically extends to the action of $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ on $F_{D',\emptyset}$. □

4.3. **A Coxeter structure on ${}_R\mathcal{C},\ \mathcal{C}$.** We need to define the local systems of restriction functors $F^{\mathcal{C}}_{D'D''}$, not just $F^{\mathcal{C}}_{D',\emptyset}$ as in the previous subsection. To this end we restrict the action of the fundamental groupoid $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$ defined in Proposition 4.2 to the subgroupoid $\Pi_{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(C_0^{D''})}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'}) \subset \Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'})$, see Definition 3.6(a). More precisely, we consider a wall between two neighbouring chambers c_1, c_2 of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D'',\mathbb{R}}$. Let C_1 (resp. C_2) be a (unique) chamber of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D',\mathbb{R}}$ adjacent to c_1 (resp. c_2) such that $\mathrm{pr}(C_1) = \mathrm{pr}(C_2) = C_0^{D''}$ (the fundamental chamber of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'',\mathbb{R}}$). Let γ be a straight line interval going from c_1 to c_2, and let Γ be its lift going from C_1 to C_2 parallelly to $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$. In the notations of Section 4.1 we set $T_M^{D'/D''}(\gamma^+) = \mathrm{Id}_M,\ T_M^{D'/D''}(\gamma^-) = T_M^{-1}(\Gamma^-) \circ T_M(\Gamma^+)$.

Lemma 4.4. *The action of $\Pi(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''})$ on a ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$-module M commutes with the action of ${}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D''} \subset {}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D'}$.*

Proof. Note that (since c_1 and c_2 are neighbours) the types of walls intersected by Γ are all in D'' except for exactly one $d \in D' - D''$. Let C'_1 be the chamber adjacent to c_1 such that $\mathrm{pr}(C'_1) = w_0^{D''} C_0^{D''}$. Then $C_2 = w_0^{D''\sqcup d} C'_1$. Let Δ be a straight line interval going from C'_1 to C_1, and ending at the starting point of Γ, and let $\Gamma\Delta$ be the concatenation of Γ and Δ. Then $T_M^{-1}(\Gamma^-) \circ T_M(\Gamma^+) = T_M^{-1}(\Delta^+) \circ T_M(\Delta^-) \circ T_M^{-1}(\Gamma\Delta^-) \circ T_M(\Gamma\Delta^+)$. It suffices to prove that $T_{\mathbf{U}}^{-1}(\Gamma^-) \circ T_{\mathbf{U}}(\Gamma^+) = \mathrm{Id}_{{}_R\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{D''}}$. According to [10, Corollary 5.9] or [8], we have $T_{\mathbf{U}}^{-1}(\Gamma\Delta^-) \circ T_{\mathbf{U}}(\Gamma\Delta^+)(E_i) = \tilde{K}_i^{-2} E_i,\ T_{\mathbf{U}}^{-1}(\Gamma\Delta^-) \circ T_{\mathbf{U}}(\Gamma\Delta^+)(F_i) = F_i \tilde{K}_i^2$ for any $i \in D'' \sqcup d$, and $T_{\mathbf{U}}^{-1}(\Delta^-) \circ T_{\mathbf{U}}(\Delta^+)(E_i) = \tilde{K}_i^{-2} E_i,\ T_{\mathbf{U}}^{-1}(\Delta^-) \circ T_{\mathbf{U}}(\Delta^+)(F_i) = F_i \tilde{K}_i^2$ for any $i \in D''$. □

Now by Lemma 3.7(a) (and Proposition 4.2) we obtain the desired local system of restriction functors ${}_RF_{D'D''}: {}_R\mathcal{C}_{D'} \to {}_R\mathcal{C}_{D''}$ (resp. $F^{\mathcal{C}}_{D'D''}: \mathcal{C}_{D'} \to \mathcal{C}_{D''}$) on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$. The isomorphisms of Lemma 3.7(c) give rise to the isomorphisms of Definition 3.9(Ac). The conditions of Definition 3.9(B) are satisfied trivially.

Remark 4.5. The Coxeter structure on ${}_R\mathcal{C}$ studied in [14] differs from ours by the twist by an invertible local system. More precisely, for a weight component $M_\lambda \subset M$, in the setup of Section 4.1, the Coxeter structure of [14, 4.1.3] $T^{TL}_{M_\lambda}(\gamma^+) = \zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle^2/4}T''_{i,+1} = (-1)^{\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}\zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}\zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle^2/4}T'_{i,+1} = (-1)^{\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}\zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle+d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle^2/4}T_{M_\lambda}(\gamma^+)$ (the second equality is [11, 5.2.3.b)]) for γ going through an s_i-wall from a Bruhat smaller chamber to a Bruhat bigger one; $T^{TL}_{M_\lambda}(\gamma^-) = \zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle^2/4}T''_{i,+1} = \zeta^{d_i\langle\check\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle^2/4}T_{M_\lambda}(\gamma^-)$ for γ going through an s_i-wall from a Bruhat bigger chamber to a Bruhat smaller one; the remaining two half-monodromies are the inverses of the above two.

Note that if $s_i\lambda = \lambda$ then the scalar factors above are identically equal to one. We define $M_{W\lambda} := \bigoplus_{\mu\in W\lambda} M_\mu$, the direct sum over the Weyl group orbit of λ. Since $T^{TL}_{M_{W\lambda}}(\gamma^\pm)$ arise from a local system on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}/W$ [14] (i.e. ${}_RF^{TL}_{D\emptyset}$ possesses a W-equivariant structure), it follows that ${}_RF_{D\emptyset}$ also possesses a W-equivariant structure.

5. Topology

5.1. **A review of** [3]**: cohesive system and algebra $\mathfrak{u}^-$.** For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ we consider the configuration space $\mathbb{A}^\beta$ of colored divisors on the complex affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$. The open subspace $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{A}}{}^\beta \subset \mathbb{A}^\beta$ of multiplicity free divisors carries a 1-dimensional *cohesive local system* $\mathcal{J}^\beta$ with the following monodromies: $\zeta^{-2\alpha_i\cdot\alpha_j}$ when a point of colour i goes counterclockwise around a point of colour $j \neq i$, and $-\zeta^{-\alpha_i\cdot\alpha_i}$ when two points of colour i trade their positions going around a halfcircle counterclockwise. We denote by $\mathcal{I}^\beta$ the Goresky-MacPherson extension of $\mathcal{J}^\beta$ to $\mathbb{A}^\beta$ (a perverse sheaf). Given two disjoint open discs $\mathbb{A}^1 \supset D(p_i,\varepsilon_i)$, $i = 1,2$, with centers in p_i of radii ε_i, and $\beta_{1,2} \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, we have an open embedding $m: D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1} \times D(p_2,\varepsilon_2)^{\beta_2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}$ and a canonical isomorphism $\psi: m^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}\boxtimes\mathcal{I}^{\beta_2}$. We denote by r the closed embedding $\mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\beta$; we keep the same notation for $D(p,\varepsilon)^\beta_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow D(p,\varepsilon)^\beta$ in case $p \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the *real hyperbolic stalk* $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^\beta) := H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^\beta)$. According to [3, Theorem I.3.9], $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^\beta)$ lives in cohomological degree 0. According to [3, Theorem I.3.5], we have a canonical isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^\beta)^* \simeq \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}^\beta)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ stands for the Verdier duality. We have canonical isomorphisms $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^\beta) \simeq H^\bullet_c(D(p,\varepsilon)^\beta_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^\beta)$ for arbitary $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The isomorphism ψ^{-1} above gives rise to the multiplication map $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}) \otimes \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_2}) \simeq H^\bullet_c(D(1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1}_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}) \otimes H^\bullet_c(D(0,\varepsilon_2)^{\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_2}) \to H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}) = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})$. The above selfduality gives rise to the comultiplication map $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}) \to \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}) \otimes \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_2})$. According to [3, I,II], the twisted graded Hopf algebra $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}) := \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}[I]} \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^\beta)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}^-$, the negative part of the small quantum group at $v = \zeta$.

5.2. **A review of** [3]**: factorizable sheaves.** We have an open subset $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{A}}{}^\beta \supset \overset{\diamond}{\mathbb{A}}{}^\beta$ of configurations of distinct coloured points in $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. It carries a 1-dimensional cohesive local system $\mathcal{J}^\beta_\lambda$ with monodromies same as the ones of $\mathcal{J}^\beta$ plus the monodromy $\zeta^{2\lambda\cdot\alpha_i}$ when a

point of colour i goes around 0 counterclockwise (here λ is a weight). We denote by $\mathcal{I}^\beta_\lambda$ the Goresky-MacPherson extension of $\mathcal{J}^\beta_\lambda$ to $\mathbb{A}^\beta$ (a perverse sheaf). Denoting by $A(p,\varepsilon)$ the complement in $\mathbb{A}^1$ to the closure of $D(p,\varepsilon)$ (an open annulus), we have an open embedding $m:\ A(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_1}\times D(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_2}\hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}$ and a canonical isomorphism $\psi:\ m^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}_{\lambda-\beta_2}\boxtimes\mathcal{I}^{\beta_2}_\lambda$. A *factorizable sheaf* of highest weight λ is a collection of perverse sheaves $\mathcal{M}^\beta$ on $\mathbb{A}^\beta$ equipped with factorization isomorphisms $m^*\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}_{\lambda-\beta_2}\boxtimes\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2}$. In particular, since for $p_1\in\mathbb{R}$ big enough, and ε_1 small enough, $D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)\subset A(0,\varepsilon)$, and the restriction of $\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}_{\lambda-\beta_2}$ from $A(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_1}$ to $D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}$, we obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}|_{D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1}\times D(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_2}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1}\boxtimes\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2}_\lambda \tag{5.1}$$

Let $a:\ \mathbb{A}^\beta\to\mathbb{A}^1$ be the addition, and $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ the corresponding vanishing cycles. It is a perverse sheaf on the hypersurface $a=0$, but since $\mathcal{M}^\beta$ is smooth along coordinate-diagonal stratification, $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ is supported at the origin $\{\beta\cdot 0\}\subset\mathbb{A}^\beta$, so we will view $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ just as a vector space. It is canonically isomorphic to $H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}+}, r_+^*\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ where $r_+:\ \mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}+}\hookrightarrow\mathbb{A}^\beta$ is the closed embedding of the "real halfspace" formed by the real configurations in the preimage $a^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$. According to [3, Theorem 0.6.3], we have a canonical isomorphism $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)^*\simeq\Phi_a(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}^\beta)$. The isomorphism (5.1) gives rise to the map $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})\otimes\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2})\simeq H^\bullet_c(D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1}_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})\otimes H^\bullet_c(D(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}+}, r_+^*\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2})\to H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}+}, r_+^*\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})=\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})$, i.e. to the action of $\mathfrak{u}^-\simeq\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I})$ on $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}):=\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}[I]}\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$. The above selfduality gives rise to the coaction $\Phi_a(\mathcal{B}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})\to\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})\otimes\Phi_a(\mathcal{B}^{\beta_2})$; equivalently, $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})^*\otimes\Phi_a(\mathcal{B}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})\to\Phi_a(\mathcal{B}^{\beta_2})$. Taking into account the isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})^*=(\mathfrak{u}^-_{-\beta_1})^*\simeq\mathfrak{u}^+_{\beta_1}$, we obtain the action of $\mathfrak{u}^+$ on $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M})$. We assign to $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ the weight $\lambda-\beta$. This, together with the action of $\mathfrak{u}^\pm$, defines the action of $\dot{\mathfrak{u}}$ on $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M})$. The resulting functor from the category $\mathcal{FS}$ of factorizable sheaves to the category $\mathcal{C}$ of $\dot{\mathfrak{u}}$-modules (to be denoted Φ) is an equivalence of categories.

5.3. **A Coxeter structure on $\mathcal{FS}$.** The diagram D is the Dynkin diagram of an irreducible Cartan datum $(I,\cdot)$ of finite type. The category of factorizable sheaves introduced in [3, 0.4.6] will be denoted by $\mathcal{FS}_D$. For a subdiagram $D'\subset D$ we denote by $\mathcal{FS}_{D'}$ a similarly defined category with grading by the weight lattice X. That is, compared to the definition of $\mathcal{FS}_D$, the lattice of weights is always X, while the set of colors is $D'\subset D=I$. The braided balanced tensor structure on $\mathcal{FS}_{D'}$ is introduced in [3, 0.5.9, 0.5.10, IV.6.6].

In order to construct the local systems $F^{\mathcal{FS}}_{D'D''}$ of restriction functors $\mathcal{FS}_{D'}\to\mathcal{FS}_{D''}$ we vary the definition [3, 0.6.7, 0.6.8] of the vanishing cycles functor Φ in the following way. Let $\mathbb{N}[D']\ni\nu=\sum_{j\in D'}n_jj$, and let $\mathbb{A}^\nu=\prod_{j\in D'}(\mathbb{A}^1)^{(n_j)}$ be the configuration space of D'-colored effective divisors on the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$ with coordinate t, of degree ν. For $D''\subset D'$ we have a pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:\ \mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}\times\mathbb{A}^\nu\to\mathbb{A}^1$ given in the coordinates $(t_{j,s})_{j\in D',\ 1\leq s\leq n_j}$ on $\mathbb{A}^\nu$, and $(z_j)_{j\in D'-D''}$ in the basis of fundamental coweights on $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}$ as follows: $\langle(z_j),(t_{j,s})\rangle:=\sum_{j\in D'-D''}z_j\sum_{s=1}^{n_j}t_{j,s}$. The decomposition $\nu=\nu''+{}'\nu:=\sum_{j\in D''}n_jj+\sum_{k\in D'-D''}n_kk$ gives rise to the direct product decomposition $\mathbb{A}^\nu=\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}\times\mathbb{A}^{'\nu}$. Clearly, given a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{M}^\nu$ on $\mathbb{A}^\nu$, the vanishing cycles $\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}\mathcal{M}^\nu$ is a perverse sheaf supported on $\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}\times\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}\times 0^{'\nu}\simeq\mathfrak{h}_{D'/D''}\times\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}$.

Let us write ζ in the form $\zeta = \exp(\pi i \varkappa)$.

Theorem 5.4. *If $\mathcal{M}^\nu$ is a part of data of a factorizable sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ and $\varkappa$ is sufficently close to 0, then $\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}\mathcal{M}^\nu|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}\times\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}}$ is smooth along the diagonal stratification of $\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}$ (and the trivial stratification of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$).*

Proof. This follows from Kashiwara - Schapira's theorem identifying the microlocalization and the Fourier transform, cf. [6, Proposition 8.6.3], [7], and from [4, Theorem 3.2], describing the Fourier transform as a version of Casimir connection

$$\nabla = d - \varkappa \sum_{\alpha\in R^+} \frac{\alpha\cdot\alpha}{2}\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} f_\alpha e_\alpha \tag{5.2}$$

along $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

In effect, it suffices to prove the required smoothness for irreducible $\mathcal{M}^\nu$, and for $D' = D,\ D'' = \emptyset$: the other choices of D', D'' follow by specialization. We may assume that this $\mathcal{M}^\nu$ is a part of data of the factorizable sheaf corresponding to an irreducible $\dot{\mathfrak{u}}$-module with dominant highest weight λ.

Then [4, Theorem 3.2] says that the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{M}^\nu$ in De Rham setting, i.e. the corresponding D-module, is annihilated by the left ideal generated by ∇.

In fact, the authors of [4] work with the De Rham complex of logarithmic forms but [9, Corollary 6.10] implies that if $\varkappa$ is sufficently close to 0, this subcomplex (of "flag logarithmic forms") is quasiisomorphic to the De Rham complex $DR(\mathcal{M}^\nu)$.

Since ∇ is smooth on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, the required smoothness follows. □

Conjecture 5.5. *The conclusion of Theorem 5.4 holds true for an arbitrary $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

5.6. The collection of perverse sheaves $\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}\mathcal{M}^\nu|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}\times\mathbb{A}^{\nu''}}$, $\nu \in Y^+$, enjoys the D''-factorization property, i.e. may be viewed as a local system over $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{D'/D''}$ of objects of $\mathcal{FS}_{D''}$. This provides the desired construction of the local systems $F^{\mathcal{FS}}_{D'D''}$ of restriction functors $\mathcal{FS}_{D'} \to \mathcal{FS}_{D''}$ required by Definition 3.9(Ab), in the formal neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\varkappa$.

If Conjecture 5.5 is true, we get these local systems for every ζ.

5.7. **Iterated vanishing cycles.** The isomorphisms of Definition 3.9(Ac) are a particular case of the following construction. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_W : W\times V \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be a bilinear pairing between two complex vector spaces. Let $U \subset W$ be a linear subspace. We denote the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to $U\times V$ by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a perverse sheaf on V smooth along a central hyperplane arrangement. We will view $\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_W}\mathcal{M}$ as a perverse sheaf on $W\times W^\perp$ (where $W^\perp \subset V$ is the annihilator of W). Note that the pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_W$ descends to the well defined pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{W/U}$ between W/U and $U^\perp$.

Theorem 5.8. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{U\times W^\perp}\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_W}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{W/U}}\Phi_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U}\mathcal{M}$$

of perverse sheaves on $U\times W/U\times W^\perp$.

Proof of Theorem 5.8. Let $V^\perp \subset W$ be the kernel of the bilinear pairing $\langle , \rangle : W \times V \to \mathbb{A}^1$. The smooth base change for the projection $W \to W/V^\perp$ reduces the claim to a construction of a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp}\, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y\times X^\perp/X\times X^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X/V}\mathcal{M} \tag{5.3}$$

of perverse sheaves on $Y \times (X/Y)^* \times (V/X)^*$, where $Y := W^\perp \subset X := U^\perp \subset V$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the microlocalization functor [6]. This isomorphism will be proven in the next Section, see Theorem 6.4.

6. Iterated specialization and microlocalization

6.1. **Iterated specialization.** Fix a complex or real vector space V equipped with a finite central hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i\}$. A linear subspace $V' \subset V$ is called a *flat* if it is an intersection of some hyperplanes from $\mathcal{H}$. A filtration

$$\ldots \subset V_{i+1} \subset V_i \subset \ldots \subset V_0 = V$$

is called *admissible* if all V_i are flats or 0.

Let $V'' \subset V' \subset V$ be an admissible filtration. $\mathcal{H}$ induces a central arrangement on V'/V''. Let $Sh_{\mathcal{H}}(V'/V'')$ denote the category of constructible sheaves smooth along the corresponding stratification, and let be $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V'/V'')$ denote the bounded derived category of complexes whose cohomology belongs to $Sh_{\mathcal{H}}(V'/V'')$.

If V is complex and $\mathcal{H}$ is real, which means that all H_i are given by real equations, then the abelian subcategory $Perv_{\mathcal{H}}(V) \subset D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ admits a description in terms of linear algebra (quiver) data, cf. [5].

Given a flat $W \subset V$, have the specialization functor

$$\mathrm{Sp}_W : \ D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(W \oplus V/W)$$

whose value on $M \in Sh_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ may be defined as follows. Fix an hermitian scalar product, hence a distance $d(z, z')$, on V. It induces a decomposition $V = W \oplus W^\perp$. Let $z = (x, y) \in W \oplus W^\perp$, $y \neq 0$, and $B(z, r) = \{z' \in V |\ d(z', z) < r\}$ where $r < d := d(z, W)$. For any $t > 0$ let $z_t = (x, ty)$. Then

$$\Gamma(B(z, r), \mathrm{Sp}_W M) = \Gamma(B(z_t, r), M)$$

where $r/d < t < r/d + \epsilon$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently close to 0. Our sheaf is monodromic, so the spaces in the RHS are canonically isomorphic for different t satisfying the above condition, and this defines the sheaf $\mathrm{Sp}_W M$.

Lemma 6.2. *(a) Let $V_2 \subset V_1 \subset V$ be an admmssible filtration. We have a natural isomorphism of functors $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_2 \oplus V_1/V_2 \oplus V/V_1)$,*

$$\phi_{12} : \ \mathrm{Sp}_{V_1/V_2}\mathrm{Sp}_{V_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sp}_{V_2}\mathrm{Sp}_{V_1}.$$

Let us denote it shortly $\phi_{12} : \ \mathrm{Sp}_1\mathrm{Sp}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sp}_2\mathrm{Sp}_1$.

(b) Let $V_3 \subset V_2 \subset V_1 \subset V$ be an admissible filtration. The various isomorphisms ϕ from(a) satisfy the pentagon relation

$$\phi_{23} \circ \phi_{13} \circ \phi_{12} = \phi_{12} \circ \phi_{23} : \ \mathrm{Sp}_1\mathrm{Sp}_2\mathrm{Sp}_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_3\mathrm{Sp}_2\mathrm{Sp}_1$$

Proof. It suffices to define ϕ satisfying (b) on $M \in Sh_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$. In this case the required isomorphism is an easy consequence of the description of the specialization given above. □

6.2.1. Let us explain the relation (b). We have eight categories related to the subfactors of V:

$$D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$$
$$D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_3 \oplus V/V_3) \quad D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_2 \oplus V/V_2) \quad D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_1 \oplus V/V_1)$$
$$D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_3 \oplus V_2/V_3 \oplus V/V_2) \quad D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_3 \oplus V_1/V_3 \oplus V/V_1) \quad D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_2 \oplus V_1/V_2 \oplus V/V_1)$$
$$D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V_3 \oplus V_2/V_3 \oplus V_1/V_2 \oplus V/V_1),$$

they are in bijection with the vertices of a cube. The functors Sp act from a category to all the categories one level below it. There are six longest paths from $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ to $D^b_{\mathcal{H}}((V_3 \oplus V_2/V_3 \oplus V_1/V_2 \oplus V/V_1)$; these paths are in bijection with the symmetric group S_3 (this is an instance of a wellknown geometric fact: the longest paths on an n-cube are in bijection with the symmetric group S_n).

The paths are connected by homotopies appearing from the natural transformations ϕ; this is a weak Bruhat order on S_3. Among these six paths there are two which are equal. The pentagon (b) above is a hexagon where one of the natural transformations on the right is the identity.

6.2.2. *Remark.* Let V be a complex vector space and let $Perv_{\mathcal{H}}(V) \subset D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ denote the subcategory of perverse sheaves. If all $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ are given by real equations then $Perv_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ admits an explicit description in terms of linear algebra (quiver) data, cf. [5]. The specialization functor looks very simply in this language and Lemma 6.2 follows trivially.

6.3. **Iterated microlocalization.** We also have the microlocalization functor which may be defined as the composition

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_W : \ D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sp}_W} D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(W \oplus V/W) \xrightarrow{FS_W} D^b_{\mathcal{H}}(W \oplus (V/W)^*)$$

where FS_W is the Fourier-Sato transformation, [6].

Theorem 6.4. *Let V be a complex vector space and let $X \subset Y \subset V$ be an admissible filtration. For $\mathcal{M} \in Perv_{\mathcal{H}}(V)$ there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\psi_{XY} : \ \mathrm{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y\times X^\perp/X\times X^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X/V}\mathcal{M} \tag{6.1}$$

of perverse sheaves on $Y \times (X/Y)^* \times (V/X)^*$.

These isomorphisms satisfy the pentagon relation connected with an admissible filtration $X \subset Y \subset Z \subset V$.

6.5. **Proof of Theorem 6.4.** The rest of this Section is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

The following properties are consequences of the definition.

6.5.1. For a linear subspace $Y \subset V$ and a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on V we have a canonical isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/Y\times(V/Y)} \mathrm{Sp}_{Y/V}\, \mathcal{M}$.

6.5.2. For a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on the product of two vector spaces $Y \times Z$, monodromic along the projection $Y \times Z \to Y$, we have a canonical isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/Y\times Z}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} FS_{Y/Y\times Z}\mathcal{M}$ between the microlocalization and the Fourier-Sato transform on the vector bundle $Y \times Z \to Y$.

6.5.3. We will have to work on the D-module side of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, so we recall the definition of the specialization functor in this context. Given a linear subspace $Y \subset V$ we choose a complementary subspace $Z \subset V$, $V \simeq Y \oplus Z$, with linear coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_d$. Then the ring of differential operators D_V has a grading such that $\deg z_i = 1$, $\deg \partial_{z_i} = -1$, $\deg y = \deg \partial_y = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, d$, and any linear coordinates y on Y. Let $F^\bullet D_V$ be the corresponding descending filtration. Note that $\mathrm{gr}^F D_V$ is canonically isomorphic to $D_{Y\times(V/Y)}$. Let M be a regular holonomic D-module on V. It possesses a unique (descending) Malgrange-Kashiwara filtration $\dots F^{-1}M \supset F^0M \supset F^1M \supset \dots$ compatible with the filtration on D_V such that (a) for $j > 0$ and $k \gg 0$, we have $F^{\pm k \pm j}M = F^{\pm j}D_V F^{\pm k}M$; (b) the generalized eigenvalues of the Euler vector field $\sum_{1\le i\le d} z_i\partial_{z_i}$ on $F^kM/F^{k+1}M$ have real parts in $[k, k+1)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The specialization of M is defined as a $D_{Y\times(V/Y)}$-module $\mathrm{Sp}_{Y/V} M := \mathrm{gr}^F M$. We say that M is *potentially monodromic* along Y if the Malgrange-Kashiwara filtration $F^\bullet M$ is compatible with some grading $G^\bullet M$ compatible with the grading on D_V. Equivalently, we can choose a complementary subspace $Z \subset V$ such that M is monodromic along the corresponding projection $V \to Y$. Any such choice defines the isomorphisms $Y \times Z \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{Y/V} M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$.

6.5.4. For a regular holonomic D-module on the product of two vector spaces $Y \times Z$, monodromic along the projection $Y \times Z \to Y$, its microlocalization $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/Y\times Z}M \xrightarrow{\sim} FT_{Y/Y\times Z}$ is the following regular holonomic $D_{Y\times Z^*}$-module. We choose some linear coordinates $y_1, \dots, y_e$ on Y, and $z_1, \dots, z_d$ on Z. Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$ be the dual coordinates on Z^*. Then we have an isomorphism $D_{Y\times Z^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{Y\times Z} : \ y_i \mapsto y_i,\ \partial_{y_i} \mapsto \partial_{y_i},\ \xi_j \mapsto \partial_{z_j},\ \partial_{\xi_j} \mapsto -z_j$ (independent of the choice of coordinates), and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/Y\times Z}M$ is nothing but M viewed as a $D_{Y\times Z^*}$-module via this isomorphism.

Now we proceed to the construction of isomorphism (6.1) in the D-module setting. All the D_V-modules below are assumed to be regular holonomic and smooth along some central hyperplane arrangement in V.

Lemma 6.6. *If a regular holonomic D_V-module M is potentially monodromic along both Y and X, then there is a canonical isomorphism* (6.1).

Proof. The microlocalization $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M$ is monodromic along the projection $Y \times Y^\perp \to Y$ and potentially monodromic along $Y \times X^\perp$. Any choice of the direct complement $Z \subset X : \ X = Y \oplus Z$ as in the definition of potential monodromicity, gives rise to the isomorphisms $Y \times Z^* \times X^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times Y^\perp$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M$. So it remains to construct an isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y\times X^\perp/X\times X^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X/V}M$. We choose a direct complement $S \subset V : \ V = X \oplus S$ as in the definition of potential monodromicity. Then the required isomorphism follows from the explicit formulas in Section 6.5.4. One can check that it does not depend on the choices of the complements Z and S. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *If a regular holonomic D_V-module M is potentially monodromic along Y, then there is a canonical isomorphism* (6.1).

Proof. By Section 6.5.1 we can replace the RHS of (6.1) by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y\times X^\perp/X\times X^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{X/V}\operatorname{Sp}_{X/V}M$. But $\operatorname{Sp}_{X/V}M$ is potentially monodromic along both Y and X. So it remains to use Lemma 6.6 and construct an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/X\times(V/X)}\operatorname{Sp}_{X/V}M \tag{6.2}$$

We choose a direct complement $Z\subset X:\ X=Y\oplus Z$, and a direct complement $S\subset V:$ $V=X\oplus S$ such that $Z\oplus S$ is as in the definition of potential monodromicity. We choose the linear coordinates $z_1,\dots,z_d$ in Z, and $s_1,\dots,s_e$ in S, and the dual coordinates $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d$ in Z^*, and $\eta_1,\dots,\eta_e$ in S^*. The D_V-module M has a grading $G^\bullet M$ compatible with the grading on D_V such that $\deg z_i=\deg s_j=1,\ \deg\partial_{z_i}=\deg\partial_{s_j}=-1,\ \deg y_k=\deg\partial_{y_k}=0$. According to Section 6.5.4, the microlocalization $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M$ amounts to the substitution $\xi_i\mapsto\partial_{z_i},\ \eta_j\mapsto\partial_{s_j},\ \partial_{\xi_i}\mapsto -z_i,\ \partial_{\eta_j}\mapsto -s_j,\ y_k\mapsto y_k,\ \partial_{y_k}\mapsto\partial_{y_k}$. To compute the specialization $\operatorname{Sp}_{X/V}M$ we use the Malgrange-Kashiwara filtration $F^\bullet M$ as in Section 6.5.3. To compute the LHS of (6.2) we use the unique filtration $'F^\bullet M$ compatible as in Section 6.5.3 with the grading on D_V such that $\deg z_i=-1,\ \deg\partial_{z_i}=1,\ \deg s_j=\deg\partial_{s_j}=\deg y_k=\deg\partial_{y_k}=0$ (note that it is *not* the Malgrange-Kashiwara grading of D_V, but rather the Fourier image of one).

The construction of the isomorphism (6.2) amounts to the construction of the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{gr}^F M \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}^{'F} M \tag{6.3}$$

Note that both $F^\bullet M$ and $'F^\bullet M$ are compatible with the grading $G^\bullet M$, that is $F^iM=\oplus_j(F^iM\cap G^jM)$ and $'F^iM=\oplus_j(\ 'F^iM\cap G^jM)$ for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. From the uniqueness of $'F^\bullet M$ and $F^\bullet M$ it follows that $'F^kM\cap G^jM=F^{k+j}M\cap G^jM$. Thus the desired isomorphism (6.3) is the direct sum of natural isomorphisms $(\ 'F^kM\cap G^jM)/(\ 'F^{k+1}M\cap G^jM)=(F^{k+j}M\cap G^jM)/(F^{k+j+1}M\cap G^jM)$. □

6.8. **The end of the proof.** Now we can finish the construction of isomorphism (6.1) for an arbitrary D_V-module M. It suffices to construct the isomorphism (6.2) for arbitrary M (not necessarily potentially monodromic along Y). By Section 6.5.1 we can replace the RHS of (6.2) by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/X\times(V/X)}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y/X\times(V/X)}\operatorname{Sp}_{X/V}M$. By Lemma 6.2 we can replace this by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/X\times(V/X)}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y\times(X/Y)/Y\times(V/Y)}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y/V}M$. However, $\operatorname{Sp}_{Y/V}M$ is already potentially monodromic along Y, so by Lemma 6.7 we have $\operatorname{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y/V}M\xrightarrow{\sim}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/X\times(V/X)}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y\times(X/Y)/Y\times(V/Y)}\operatorname{Sp}_{Y/V}M$. One last application of Section 6.5.1 allows to replace the LHS by $\operatorname{Sp}_{Y\times X^\perp/Y\times Y^\perp}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y/V}M$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.4 and hence that of Theorem 5.8.

7. Discussion

7.1. **Desiderata.** We have gone to all this trouble just to conjecture that the functor Φ of [3] takes the Coxeter structure of Section 5.3 to the one of Section 4.3. By [4, Theorem 3.2] and Fourier=microlocalization this would imply that the monodromy of the Casimir connection is given by the Lusztig's symmetries for any ζ. Note that the functor Φ of [3] is nothing

but the stalk at the fundamental Weyl chamber $C_0^{D'}$ of the local system of the restriction functors $F^{\mathcal{FS}}_{D'\emptyset}:\ \mathcal{FS}_{D'}\to \mathrm{Vect}_X$ of Section 5.3.

7.2. **Tilted functors Φ.** Recall the setup of Section 5.2. Let us choose a point $z^{(w)}$ in a chamber $C_w\subset \mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathbb{R}},\ w\in W$. Instead of $\Phi_a(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ let us consider the spaces of "tilted" vanishing cycles $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}^\beta):=\Phi_{\langle z^{(w)},?\rangle}(\mathcal{M}^\beta)\simeq H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}w}, r_w^*\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ where $r_w:\ \mathbb{A}^\beta_{\mathbb{R}w}\hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\beta$ is the closed embedding of the "tilted halfspace" formed by the real configurations in the preimage $\langle z^{(w)},?\rangle^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$. In particular, $\Phi_a\simeq\Phi_e$.

For a real p_1 such that $\langle z^{(w)},\beta\cdot p_1\rangle$ is positive and big enough, similarly to Section 5.2 we obtain the map

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})\otimes\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2})\simeq H^\bullet_c(D(p_1,\varepsilon_1)^{\beta_1}_{\mathbb{R}}, r^*\mathcal{I}^{\beta_1})\otimes H^\bullet_c(D(0,\varepsilon)^{\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}w}, r_w^*\mathcal{M}^{\beta_2})\to$$

$$H^\bullet_c(\mathbb{A}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}_{\mathbb{R}w}, r_w^*\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2})=\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}^{\beta_1+\beta_2}),$$

i.e. the action of $\mathfrak{u}^-\simeq\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{I})$ on $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}):=\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}[I]}\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$.

The selfduality of Φ_w gives rise to the action of $\mathfrak{u}^+$ on $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M})$ similarly to Section 5.2. We assign to $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ the weight $w(\lambda-\beta)$, and using the isomorphisms $T'_{w\pm}:\ \mathfrak{u}^+\xrightarrow{\sim} T'_{w\pm}(\mathfrak{u}^+)\subset\mathfrak{u},\ \mathfrak{u}^-\xrightarrow{\sim}T'_{w\pm}(\mathfrak{u}^-)\subset\mathfrak{u}$ we obtain the action of $T'_{w\pm}(\mathfrak{u}^+), T'_{w\pm}(\mathfrak{u}^-)$ on $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M})$. This, together with the above grading, defines an action of $\dot{\mathfrak{u}}$ on $\Phi_w(\mathcal{M})$, i.e. gives rise to two functors $\Phi_{w\pm}:\ \mathcal{FS}\to\mathcal{C}$.

Given a straight line interval γ_w from $z^{(e)}$ to $z^{(w)}$ we obtain the corresponding "halfmonodromy" transformations $\gamma^\pm_{w,\beta}(\mathcal{M}):\ \Phi_e(\mathcal{M}^\beta)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Phi_{w\pm}(\mathcal{M}^\beta)$ (independent of the choice of γ) for any factorizable sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ and $\beta\in\mathbb{N}[I]$.

The following conjecture is a reformulation of Section 7.1.

Conjecture 7.3. *The maps $\{\gamma^\pm_{w,\beta}(\mathcal{M}),\ \mathcal{M}\in\mathcal{FS}\}$ define two natural transformations of functors $\gamma^+_w:\ \Phi\xrightarrow{\sim}\Phi_{w+}$ and $\gamma^-_w:\ \Phi\xrightarrow{\sim}\Phi_{w-}$.*

References

[1] A. Appel, V. Toledano Laredo, *Quasi-Coxeter categories and a relative Etingof-Kazhdan quantization functor*, arXiv:1212.6720.

[2] S. Arkhipov, D. Gaitsgory, *Another realization of the category of modules over the small quantum group*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), 114–143.

[3] R. Berzukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Schechtman, *Factorizable sheaves and quantum groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1691** (1998).

[4] G. Felder, Y. Markov, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Differential equations compatible with KZ equations*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **3** (2000), 139–177.

[5] M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, *Perverse sheaves on real hyperplane arrangements*, to appear.

[6] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **292** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990), x+512pp.

[7] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Integral transforms with exponential kernels and Laplace transform, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), 939–972.

[8] S. Khoroshkin, V. Tolstoy, *Twisting of quantum (super-) algebras*, Generalized symmetries in physics (Clausthal, 1993), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (1994), 42–54.

[9] S. Khoroshkin, A. Varchenko, *Quiver D-modules and homology of local systems over an arrangement of hyperplanes*, Int. Math. Res. Pap. **2006**, Art. ID 69590, 116pp.

[10] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. II*, Progress of Theoretical Physics **102** (1990), 175–201.
[11] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110** (1993).
[12] C. Moeglin, J.-L. Waldspurger, *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series. Une paraphrase de l'Écriture [A paraphrase of Scripture]*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **113**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995), xxviii+338 pp.
[13] M. Salvetti, *Topology of the complement of real hyperplanes in* $\mathbb{C}^N$, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 3, 603–618.
[14] V. Toledano Laredo, *Quasi-Coxeter algebras, Dynkin diagram cohomology, and quantum Weyl groups*, Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP 2008, Art. ID rpn009, 167 pp.

M.F.: IMU, ITTP, and State Research University Higher School of Economics, Math. Dept.,
20 Myasnitskaya st, Moscow 101000 Russia;
fnklberg@gmail.com

V.S.: Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse, France;
schechtman@math.ups-tlse.fr